



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,941	11/03/2003	Margit Burmeister	UM-08441	4341	
7590 12/19/2005			EXAMINER		
Tanya A. Arenson			GOLDBERG, JE	GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE	
MEDLEN & CA	ARROLL, LLP		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	B + BEB + EE + EE	
Suite 350		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
101 Howard Str	eet	1634			
San Francisco, CA 94105			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Ap	Application No. Appl		plicant(s)			
		10	0/699,941	BURMEISTER, M	MARGIT			
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
_			anine A. Goldberg	1634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IN THE	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. tutory period will app vill, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a ply and will expire SIX (6) MO the the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on O3 Nove	mher 2003					
2a)□								
3)	, -							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	·	•	·				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction	n and/or elect	tion requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
·	·		ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
,—	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			• •	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exami	ner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign prio	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* ^	application from the Internation	•						
, (See the attached detailed Office action	i for a list of th	ne centitied copies no	t received.				
	<i>u</i> ,							
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	O-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infori	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Other: _	Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/699,941 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1, 4-12, 15, drawn to a method for detecting variant Cayman ataxia nucleic acid, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- II. Claims 1-3, 6-14, drawn to a method for detecting variant Cayman ataxia polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- III. Claims 16-18, 21, 23, 26-28, drawn to a kit comprising nucleic acid, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- IV. Claims 16-22, 24-25, 28, drawn to a kit comprising polypeptides, classified in class 424, subclass 120.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions (I and III) and (II and IV) are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids of Group III may be used in a materially different methods such as isolation, purification, aptamer screening methods, antisense methods, for example.

Art Unit: 1634

The polypeptides of Group IV may be used in a materially different methods, such as antibody generation, making more protein, for example.

- B) The inventions of Groups III, and IV are patentably distinct because they are drawn to different products having different structures and functions. The nucleic acid of Group III is composed of nucleotides linked in phospodiester bonds and arranged in space as a double helix. The polypeptide of Group IV is composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a number of different tertiary structures including alpha helices, beta-pleated sheets, and hydrophobic loops (transmembrane domain). Furthermore, the products of Groups III, and IV can be used in materially different processes, for example, the DNA of Group III can be used in hybridization assays, the polypeptide of Group IV can be used to make fusion protein with an enzymatic function. Consequently, the reagents, reaction conditions, and reaction parameters required to make or use each invention are different. Therefore, the inventions of Groups III, and IV are patentably distinct from each other.
- C) The inventions of Group I and II are patentably distinct methods because they each have different objectives, different uses, different reagents and different method steps. The method of Group I is for nucleic acid detection. Alternatively, the method of Group II is for polypeptide detection methods. Therefore the methods are distinct over one another.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the different classifications and their

Application/Control Number: 10/699,941

Art Unit: 1634

divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

Further a search of each of these inventions would not be coextensive of a search for

each of the other inventions.

Art Unit: 1634

Restriction Requirement Applicable to All Groups Requiring more than one Patentably Distinct Sequence:

4. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, i.e. these sequences are unrelated because the protein encoded by these sequences differ in structure and in function and in biological activity. Further, even where the nucleic acid changes have no effect on protein structure or function, these sequences themselves represent allelic variations which have different diagnostic and therapeutic implications. A restriction is applied to each Group. For an elected Group drawn to amino acid sequences, the Applicants must further elect a single amino acid sequence. For an elected Group drawn to nucleotide sequences, the Applicants are permitted to elect a single nucleic acid sequences (See MPEP 803.04).

The claims contains 2 individual, independent and distinct nucleotide sequences in alternative form. Claims 2, 3 are drawn to two different variant polypeptides. Claims 4, 5 are drawn to four different nucleic acid sequences. Accordingly, these claims are subject to restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121 as outlined in 1192 O.G. 68 (November 19, 1996).

Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are

Art Unit: 1634

presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq.

Applicant is required to select one of the individual sequences for examination. The search of the selected sequence may include the complements of the selected sequences and, where appropriate, may include subsequences within the selected sequences (e.g., oligomeric probes and/or primers).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the nucleic acids are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evident or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variant or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other inventions.

Notice for Rejoinder

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final

Application/Control Number: 10/699,941 Page 7

Art Unit: 1634

rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,941 Page 8

Art Unit: 1634

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-0743. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272- 0745.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Central Fax Number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Jeanine Goldberg
Primary Examiner

December 7, 2005